

---

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  v.  JASON MICHAEL GRANT,  Defendant.	MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT’S MOTION FOR EARLY TERMINATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE    Case No. 2:10-CR-821 TS  District Judge Ted Stewart
---	---

---

This matter is before the Court on Defendant’s Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release. For the reasons discussed below, the Court will grant the Motion.

I. BACKGROUND

On September 8, 2010, Defendant was charged with conspiracy to possess MDMA and BZP with intent to distribute and possession with intent to distribute MDMA. Defendant pleaded guilty to possession with intent to distribute MDMA on March 28, 2012. On July 12, 2012, Defendant was sentenced to a term of 50 months in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, to be followed by 36 months of supervised release.

Defendant began his term of supervision on July 4, 2014. In his Motion, Defendant represents that he has complied with the terms of his supervised release and has maintained gainful employment. Defendant seeks early termination to allow further employment opportunities. Consultation with Defendant’s supervising officer confirms that Defendant has complied with the terms of supervision. The government has indicated that it has no objection to Defendant’s Motion.

## II. DISCUSSION

18 U.S.C. § 3583(e) permits the Court to terminate supervised release at any time after a defendant has completed at least one year of supervised release, but prior to completion of the entire term, if the Court is satisfied that such action is (1) warranted by the conduct of an offender and (2) is in the interest of justice. In making this determination, the Court is directed to consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent they are applicable.

Having considered these factors, reviewed the docket and case file, and consulted with Defendant's supervising officer, the Court finds that early termination of Defendant's term of supervised release is both warranted by the conduct of the offender and in the interest of justice.

## III. CONCLUSION


It is therefore

ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release (Docket No. 391) is GRANTED. It is further

ORDERED that Defendant's term of supervised release shall be terminated effective immediately and this case shall be closed.

DATED this 2nd day of August, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ted Stewart  
United States District Judge